WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	DISTRI	CT OF ARIZONA
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Leonel Garcia-Perez	Case Number: <u>11-05200M-001</u>
In accordance present and we detention of the secondary contraction of the secondary contraction and the secondary contraction of the secondary contraction and the secondary contraction	ne detendant pending Iriai in Inis Case.	142(f), a detention hearing was held on March 28, 2011. Defendant was a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the INDINGS OF FACT
I find by a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:	
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.	
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.
The at the time o	Court incorporates by reference the materia f the hearing in this matter, except as noted	al findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour d in the record.
		NCLUSIONS OF LAW
1.	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.	
2.	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
	DIRECTION	NS REGARDING DETENTION
a corrections appeal. The	s facility separate, to the extent practicable, f defendant shall be afforded a reasonable o I States or on request of an attorney for the t	le Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in rom persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending pportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a could government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
	APPEALS A	AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Society at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: March 28, 2011

JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge